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German lessons

Deborah Hargreaves and Luke Hildyard

In recent years there has been a growing interest in the German model of corporate governance.

The German economy emerged from the financial crisis in better shape than most of its European neighbours. Germany also hosts many successful world-leading companies in key sectors.

While criticisms have been directed at the German way of working, they run counter to those heard in Britain. German companies are sometimes accused of being slow to reach decisions and to react to changing circumstances. However, in the UK, short-term decision-making is highlighted as a particular problem.

Employee democracy is an established way of business life in many European countries with the UK an exception. The European participation index compiled by the European Trade Union Institute puts the UK second from bottom for workers’ participation in the EU league. It looks at formal and informal ways of employees getting involved in corporate decision-making – only Lithuania has a higher ranking than the UK.

At the High Pay Centre, we believe that employees have an important role to play in company decision-making. We therefore wanted to explore how this works in Germany. This report is based on interviews with employee, union and shareholder representatives on supervisory boards and with a corporate governance expert.
How it works
Germany leads the way for employee involvement in company decision-making. Its two-tier board structure and network of works councils gives the workforce a strong voice. Employees sit on the supervisory board that exercises oversight over the executive management and hires and fires directors.

This means employees make important contributions to discussions about sensitive subjects such as executive remuneration and corporate strategy.

Coal and steel companies have had a supervisory and management board structure since 1951. The so-called co-determination law was strengthened in 1976 and extended to cover all listed and state-owned companies.

Companies with more than 500 employees based in Germany must all establish a supervisory board with at least a third of employee representatives. The numbers of workforce and shareholder representatives required to sit on the supervisory board vary depending on the size of the company.

Big companies with more than 2,000 employees have a 12-20-member supervisory board. Companies are required to have equal numbers of employee and shareholder representatives with union reps, workers and a management member representing the staff. In big companies there are 6-10 employee reps: 2-3 from the union, 3-6 from the workforce and a management representative.

All employee reps are voted for by the company’s German staff; voting is either directly for candidates or by electing a delegate assembly.

The remaining 6-10 members are voted on by shareholders and represent investors with a stake in the company. The chairman is always a shareholder representative and has a second vote in the event of a tied decision at the supervisory board.

The supervisory board has the right to appoint and dismiss the executive board that runs the company – voting is by two-thirds majority.

In 2009, the supervisory board was given an extended remit to set executive pay including bonus and share plans as well as the requirement to fix a maximum level for variable (or share-based) remuneration. (This was previously the responsibility of the remuneration committee of the board.) Some boards have responded by imposing pay caps (Deutsche Bank) or even cutting the chief executive’s pay (VW).

The Bundestag, the lower house of parliament, voted in July to give shareholders the ultimate responsibility for voting on pay at the company’s annual meeting. This still needs approval in the upper house, the Bundesrat.

Germany revised its corporate governance code in 2009 in response to the financial crisis, to give companies a broader set of responsibilities other than just a duty to shareholders.

The code reads:
The management board is responsible for independently managing the enterprise in the interest of the enterprise, thus taking into account the interests of the shareholders, its employees and other stakeholders with the objective of sustainable creation of value.

What do employees bring to the table?
Employee representatives stress that they provide a bridge between the management and the workers.

“They have direct knowledge about the workforce and what they think. I always say ‘I won’t tell you how to run a balance sheet, but if you want to know what the employees think, ask me.’ ” says Martina Klee, employee representative at Deutsche Bank.

The issue of women in management is currently pre-occupying much of the bank’s workforce and the supervisory board has told the executives that more women must be promoted in the next two years.

Employee reps point out that they make an important contribution to company strategy in this way and can help explain management thinking to the wider workforce.

“We look at the strategic plan for the next five years and we look at where VW is investing. Of course, if you want to be the top auto company in the world, you have to invest in China, but we want a significant part of the investment to go to German companies,” says Hartmut Meine, union representative at VW and Continental, the tyre and car components company.

“It’s not just about profit, there is a general understanding at VW that we don’t shut plants; job security and profitability are the two goals of this company.”

The VW worker representatives then look at productivity gains to be made in German factories. They can talk to the workforce about short-time working and changing shift patterns to fit in with a temporary lull in production. Since the workforce has a voice at the top table, these agreements can be made in a collaborative environment.

“In an informal way, we have to make strategy in the board transparent to the workforce,” said Mr Meine.

Hans-Peter Fischer who is the management representative on the VW board agrees.

“Employees are the ones who ask the best questions as they have direct knowledge about running the company. How do shareholders know what is going on in the factory? They have to rely on reports from the executive directors.”

Christoph Danzer-Vandt who sits on the supervisory board of the German state-owned rail company, Deutsche Bahn, as a shareholder representative, points out that when the rail operator had to cut 150,000 jobs over a 10-
year period, it could agree this with
the employee side of the board. This
meant there were no lay-offs, but that
the workforce was reduced through
early retirement and re-deployment.

He has worked at Eon which has a
large UK arm where he says the
relationship with the union was
more confrontational, but there was
a huge turnover in staff and it was
easier to reduce the workforce.

Many employee reps talk of the
need to work as partners with the
managers and shareholders to
ensure the best decisions are taken
for the company and its staff.

Andreas Schaedler, employee
representative at Deutsche Post, also
believes it is important to incorporate
the interests of employees even
though those overseas do not
have direct representation on the
supervisory board.

Role of unions

The supervisory board system
appears to function smoothly in
workplaces with more homogenous
union representation such as the
IG-Metall union. In the banks where
union membership is much weaker,
and there are a number of smaller,
pro-management unions, it is more
challenging.

Beate Mensch from the pan-banking
sector Verdi union who sits on the
board of Commerzbank, says:

“Generally speaking, unions were
never very strong in the banking and
finance sector. The union
culture was based on social
partnership, but that has changed
since the financial crisis and
there is much less trust between
employees and management. It
makes it much more challenging
to make the supervisory board
system work.”

The system of collective agreements
on pay is also weaker in the
banking sector and employee
representatives are often more
hostile towards the union
representatives. “Sometimes the
internal employee reps don’t dare to
vote against the management. The
external union reps have differing
views because they look beyond the
company, at the sector and even
at society as a whole,” says Ms
Mensch.

“It is quite hard to keep the
balance, we don’t want to destroy
the company, but we have to try
and represent employees. There
is a danger on the supervisory
board that the management may
try and win us over and we have
to be careful about that.”

Martina Klee who is not a union
member and sits on the Deutsche
Bank board as an employee
representative says:

“In the financial sector, unions
want to get involved in issues
they have no idea about.”

Deutsche Bank is currently
integrating Postbank, a German-
based savings institution which is
heavily unionised. This is causing
some culture clashes.

Hartmut Meine from the IG Metall
union says:

“the structure of co-determination
works better the stronger the
union is. The structure on its
own is important, but that’s not
enough. The union provides a
lot of training and back-up for its
board members.”

Union representatives commit to
pay 90 per cent of their board fee
back to the union in order to pay
for training and support. Much of
this goes to fund the Hans-Boeckler
Foundation, a research organisation
that provides expertise and
training to back up the employee
representatives.

“Mutual trust is the base for the
German system,” says Mr Danzer-
Vanotti.

“Confrontation between both
sides doesn’t work.”

This means it can take longer to
make decisions and this has led to
some criticism of the system in
Germany, but it leads to buy-in and
understanding for the subsequent
decisions from employees,
managers and shareholders.

Petra Reibold-Knape, from the
German mining, chemical and
energy union, sits on the supervisory
board of Bayer. She mostly operates
in the former East Germany where
it has been difficult to establish the
supervisory board structure.

The chemical industry has just
reiterated its commitment to a social
partnership model which has served
the industry well through tough times.

“In the crisis, works councils and
unions agreed to short-time working
and without that there would have
been massive lay-offs, so employers
appreciate it too,” she says.

“German unions are not just
orientated towards getting more
money, but they are also looking for
safe jobs in the future and they want
to influence the decisions of the
company,” said Mr Fischer. Many
employee representatives were
keenly aware of the danger in high
inequalities with the resentment and
extreme politics that can lead to.

How supervisory boards
set pay

The issue of executive remuneration
is a controversial one for the
supervisory boards with often
intense negotiation between investor
and employee representatives.

At VW, the supervisory board
agreed this year to cut the pay of
Martin Winterkorn, chief executive,
to €14 million. Hartmut Meine says
three years ago, the supervisory
board put in place a bonus and
long-term incentive plan for Mr
Winterkorn.

“Our mistake was; we didn’t see
how well VW would develop.
It’s been very profitable and
all the key features of the LTIP
exploded. The last two years
have been the best years at VW.”

In 2011, Mr Winterkorn’s package
reached €17 million, he was the
best paid executive in Germany and
at a time of austerity, there was a
public outcry. Mr Winterkorn would
have been on track to earn €20m
last year.
This prompted a long debate on the supervisory board between the employee directors, the shareholders and executives. At first, the executives argued that the amount was justified by the system in place, but the employee and union directors tried to convince them the ratio to employees was too high. This was against a background of a public debate about fat cats and a pay ratio at VW that Mr Meine estimates at 340 to 1 between top and bottom.

In the end, the supervisory board managed to convince Mr Winterkorn to cut his pay-out to €14m and accept tougher bonus targets. Everyone agreed apart from the two investor representatives from Qatar who didn’t understand what the fuss was about.

In the general debate, there were concerns that if top bosses are not paid enough, they will leave and go to the US. But Mr Meine points out that this hardly ever happens:

“Mr Winterkorn is one of the best car managers in the world, but no-one would ever offer him the job of running General Motors.”

Martina Klee has also been involved in controversial decision-making over pay at Deutsche Bank where there is a joint chief executive.

“We discussed a cap on pay for several months before we could agree. It still sounds too high to me, but the CEOs did not like it and said they could earn more elsewhere. Well, why did they take the job then?”

The chief executives of Deutsche Bank have had their pay capped at €9.8m each.

At Deutsche Post, executive pay is partly tied to employee engagement which involves completion of a company-wide questionnaire – if the return rate is more than 70 per cent, the executives are entitled to 10-20 per cent of their bonus for this.

While there has been a public outcry over top pay in Germany, Martin Winterkorn is the only executive whose package comes close to those in the UK. His €14m (£12m) compares with £16.9m for Angela Ahrendts who runs fashion company, Burberry, and was the highest-paid boss in the FTSE 100 last year.

Dieter Zetsche who runs Daimler is the second highest paid in Germany on £6.8m (£7m) and Peter Loescher at Siemens is third with €7.8m (£6.8m). In the UK Angus Russell at Shire was second on £12m and Graham Mackay at SABMiller was third on £9.7m.

Do employees outside Germany have a voice?

The employees who work outside Germany do not vote for supervisory board members and do not get a say in company issues. This is a concern for those on very international companies such as Deutsche Bank and Deutsche Post.

“Finding a balance is very difficult because the cultures are different, but it is important to meet international labour standards across the Group,” says Andreas Schaedler, employee representative at Deutsche Post. DHL, the DP overseas brand is active in more than 220 countries worldwide and the company as a whole has 460,000 workers.

However, there are issues from overseas that make it to the supervisory board in Germany. Mr Schaedler points out that the Group’s compliance with labour standards for staff recruitment is not consistent with the use of lie detector tests, for example.

At Deutsche Bank, there are 80,000 employees worldwide, half of them in Germany. But Ms Klee is concerned that means half of the employees are not represented on the board.

“I don’t know how they think. I think there should be people from abroad on the supervisory board. Employees overseas say they would like to share our model.”

Conclusion

All of those we interviewed spoke of the hard work, the intense negotiations and the necessity to compromise that comes with a seat on the supervisory board. It is clear that the structure and way of working has not grown up overnight, but taken many years of constructive co-operation.

Interestingly, the co-determination system was strongly resisted in Germany when it was first introduced and shareholders even took the government to court to try and block it. Employers have often resisted some of the obligations it imposes on them.

However, many of our interviewees said that since the financial crisis, there had been much more of an acceptance of the system by executives and shareholders as they perceived more of its advantages and had stopped trying to undermine it.

Any move towards a German system in the UK would take some time to develop and would need a significant amount of goodwill on both sides. But if the German experience is anything to go by, employers and workers alike would come to value a system that enables them to work together to build productive and successful companies.